be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–16296 Filed 6–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 460-009]

City of Tacoma, WA; Notice Establishing Comment Period for Complaint and Motion for Interim License Conditions

June 17, 1997.

On June 3, 1997, the Skokomish Indian Tribe filed a document entitled "Complaint for Violation of an Annual License, Petition for Declaratory Order, and Motion To Establish Interim License Conditions and Other Relief." The tribe requests, pursuant to 18 CFR 385.206, 385.107, and 385.212 of the Commission's regulations, that the Commission find the City of Tacoma to be in violation of its annual license for the Cushman Hydroelectric Project No. 460.1 The Tribe also requests that the Commission impose interim conditions on that annual license pending a final decision on Tacoma's application for a new license for the project.

Pursuant to Rule 213(d) of the Commission's regulations, answers to motions are due within 15 days after filing, and answers to complaints are due within 30 days after filing or, if noticed, after publication of notice in the Federal Register, unless otherwise ordered.2 In general, the Commission's policy is to publish notice in the Federal Register of complaints against hydroelectric licensees.³ Because the Tribe's complaint and motion are filed together and address related issues, the Commission has determined that they should be considered together and that a single comment period should be established for them, as provided in this notice.

The Commission has also determined that, because the Tribe's complaint concerns Tacoma's compliance with the terms and conditions of its annual license and the possible need for interim conditions pending relicensing, they are not properly part of the ongoing relicensing proceeding, but rather, constitute a separate proceeding involving only the Tribe, as complainant, and Tacoma, as licensee. Accordingly, any other interested entities that wish to participate in this proceeding, including parties to the Cushman relicensing proceeding, must file a motion to intervene.

Any person may file an answer, comments, protest, or a motion to intervene with respect to the Tribe's complaint and motion in accordance with the requirements of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211, 385.213, and 385.214. In determining the appropriate action to take with respect to the complaint and motion, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any answers, comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received no later than July 25, 1997.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-16290 Filed 6-20-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-395-000]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

June 17, 1997

Take notice that on June 12, 1997, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, Second Revised Sheet No. 552, with a proposed effective date of July 1, 1997.

Texas Eastern states that the purpose of this filing is to modify Section 9.3 of the General Terms and Conditions (GT&C) of Texas Eastern's Tariff to provide for a service to replace an exchange service provided by Koch Gateway Gas Pipeline Company (Koch), under Texas Eastern's Original Volume No. 2 FERC Gas Tariff, Rate Schedule X–131. Texas Eastern states that it will enter into firm and interruptible

transportation agreements with PanEnergy Louisiana Intrastate Company (PELICO) to replace the Koch exchange service.

Texas Eastern also states that at this time it does not propose to change its rates to recover the costs of transportation service from PELICO, but reserves its right under the Natural Gas Act to seek to recover such costs in a subsequent rate proceeding.

Texas Eastern states that copies of the filing were served on all firm customers of Texas Eastern and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in as provided in section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–16299 Filed 6–20–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-569-000]

Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Application

June 17, 1997.

Take notice that on June 11, 1997, **Texas Gas Transmission Corporation** (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP97-569-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon a transportation service for Energy Development Corporation (Energy Development) provided by Texas Gas under its Rate Schedule X-55 and authorized in Docket No. CP75-275, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

¹ Although styled as both a complaint and a petition for a declaratory order, the Tribe's request is, in essence, a complaint, because the petition simply seeks a declaratory order finding Tacoma in violation of its annual license.

² 18 CFR 385.213(d). See also 18 CFR 385.202.

^{3 18} CFR 2.1(a)(1)(iii)(J).